

topical structure vs Reps

- Good to have final point of contact for specific 'Directors' with their own committees
 - ↳ a lower level of commitment may detract from someone wanting to run for a 'director' position.
 - can only avoid by limiting number of 'director' positions to match involvement
- Dept. communication & advocacy goal leads to wanting rep. only position.
 - where do reps fit in?
 - how do we divv up reps
 - * by year doesn't always work
 - * by research group or research topic also has issues
 - * member-at-large
 - * ~~International~~ special interest groups
 - what problems do you go to reps with?
 - How do you get people to contact their council is real issue?
 - ↳ part of social cohesion goals
 - What about ~~also~~ pre-prelim / post-prelim. kind of division instead of every year
- Do we want task oriented pos. regardless of reps?
 - Do we need a President? → Yes
 - who should the Dept. point of contact be?

- Do we need a communication director / ops director?
 - website
 - finances
 -

↳ This should probably just be the President

↳ add President-Elect position to do sub-tasks of President

↳ Can also do anyone that has been on council before and no president elect position

- Think about election rules

- Agreement on: President, Committee w/ directors
 - ↳ put dir. of advocacy in charge of reps especially if special interests

- Committee of Reps can add themselves and there don't need to be elections
 - ↳ can cause self-election run-amok
 - ↳ what about adding reps w/ petition

- ~~the~~ Have pre/post-prelim directors of advocacy + have their special interests committee

- Voting for special interest would be honor system

- Communications